

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/734,224	Applicant(s) HANSMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner Thomas Duong	Art Unit 2145	

All Participants:

- (1) Thomas Duong.
- (2) James H. Murray, Reg. No. 20,915.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 20 September 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ex. Duong left a message for Mr. Murray, the attorney of record regarding the status of the application since a timely response was not received. Mr. Murray returned the call and indicated that it is the clients' intention to abandon the application.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)